

Homeless Alliance for the Lower Shore Continuum of Care

COC PROJECT APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA 2017

Applications shall be evaluated by members of the HALS CoC Monitoring and Ranking Committee using the following criteria. Based on this review applications will be approved or rejected. If projects are approved this evaluation will be used to rank applications into Tier 1 and Tier 2 of the 2017 CoC NOFA Competition.

Name of CoC Applicant: SCHD

CoC Project Application Title: Welcoming Chronic 2

	EVALUATION CRITERIA	Scoring
1	<p>Project has reasonable costs as assessed by CoC Yes - 2 Higher - 1 No - 0</p> <p>Application as documented secured match Yes - 2, No - 0</p> <p>Application is complete and meets CoC expectations</p> <p>Yes - 2 Received Help - 1 No - 0</p> <p>Applicant is an active CoC Participant that attends 75% of meetings.</p> <p>Yes - 2 Less than 75% but more than 45% - 1 No - 0</p> <p>Applicant has documented organizational financial stability Yes - 2 No - 0</p>	10
2	<p>Coordinated Assessment participation - Compliance with CoC Prioritization Policy</p> <p>Applicant has taken all new entries from CoC wait list in the last 12 months</p> <p>Yes - 5 Some - 3 None - 0</p> <p>Did all new placements meet the chronically homeless and vulnerable criteria in policy?</p> <p>Yes - 5 Some - 3 None - 0</p>	5 5 10
3	<p>This program will contribute to ending Chronic Homelessness & Evaluating Needs and Vulnerabilities</p> <p>Project serves chronics @ 100% - 8</p> <p>Project Serves more than 40% chronics - 6</p> <p>Project Serves 20-39% chronics - 4</p> <p>Project Serves 5-19% Chronics - 2</p> <p>Project Serves 1-4 % Chronics - 0</p> <p>Project Services Considers one or more of the following vulnerabilities for admission - 2pts for one or more. None - 0 points</p> <p>Abuse/Victimization or a history of abuse,</p> <p>Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault and Childhood Abuse</p> <p>Criminal Histories</p> <p>Current or past substance Abuse</p> <p>Youth Homelessness</p> <p>Low or no Income</p>	8 2 10
4	<p>This program will follow a "Housing First" & "Low Barrier" program model.</p> <p>Program allows entry of participants into program with no income. - 5</p> <p>Program allows entry of participants into program with \$185 income. 3</p> <p>Program only allows entry of participants with SSI/SSDI benefits or earned income - 0</p> <p>Program does not mandate participation in service. - 5</p> <p>Program mandates participants participate in service. - 0</p>	10
5	<p>Participates in HMIS - entering client specific data on a timely basis so that quarterly/yearly reports can be run from HMIS.</p> <p>Enters Data Monthly - 10</p> <p>Enters Data quarterly - 7</p> <p>Enters Data bi-annually - 4</p> <p>Enters Data Yearly - 2</p>	10

10	<p>The project completes draw downs of funds at least quarterly in eLOCCS Existing Projects:</p> <p>Draw downs completed more than quarterly: 10 Draw Downs completed quarterly – 5 Draw Downs completed less than quarterly – 0</p> <p>New Projects – Placement of this project above existing projects will result in the displacement of participants currently housed, therefore contributing to increased homeless – remove 10 pts.</p>	10
Project Scoring Total		98 out of 100pts
Comments:		

Evaluators Signature:

Claudia Nagle:

Claudia Nagle

DATE:

9/12/17

Theo Williams:

Theo Williams

DATE:

9/12/17

Carey Kelly:

Carey Kelly

DATE:

9/12/17

Roberta Baldwin:

Roberta Baldwin

DATE:

9/12/17

Ronda Lewis:

Ronda Lewis

DATE:

9-12-17

Tony Jones:

Tony Jones

DATE:

9-12-17

By signature below, Greta Rolland, HMIS Lead who is responsible for the writing of the HUD 2017 CoC Application will post this notice along with the CoC Priority Listing on the HALS CoC Website:

Greta Rolland:

Greta Rolland

DATE:

9/12/17

Homeless Alliance for the Lower Shore Continuum of Care

COC PROJECT APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA 2017

Applications shall be evaluated by members of the HALS CoC Monitoring and Ranking Committee using the following criteria. Based on this review applications will be approved or rejected. If projects are approved this evaluation will be used to rank applications into Tier 1 and Tier 2 of the 2017 CoC NOFA Competition.

Name of CoC Applicant: SCHD

CoC Project Application Title: Somerset Chronic

	EVALUATION CRITERIA	Scoring
1	Project has reasonable costs as assessed by CoC Yes - 2 Higher - 1 No - 0 Application as documented secured match Yes - 2, No - 0 Application is complete and meets CoC expectations Yes - 2 Received Help - 1 No - 0 Applicant is an active CoC Participant that attends 75% of meetings. Yes - 2 Less than 75% but more than 45% - 1 No - 0 Applicant has documented organizational financial stability Yes - 2 No - 0	10
2	Coordinated Assessment participation - Compliance with CoC Prioritization Policy Applicant has taken all new entries from CoC wait list in the last 12 months Yes - 5 Some - 3 None - 0 Did all new placements meet the chronically homeless and vulnerable criteria in policy? Yes - 5 Some - 3 None - 0	5 5 10
3	This program will contribute to ending Chronic Homelessness & Evaluating Needs and Vulnerabilities Project serves chronics @ 100% - 8 Project Serves more than 40% chronics - 6 Project Serves 20-39% chronics - 4 Project Serves 5-19% Chronics - 2 Project Serves 1-4 % Chronics - 0 Project Services Considers one or more of the following vulnerabilities for admission - 2pts for one or more. None - 0 points Abuse/Victimization or a history of abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault and Childhood Abuse Criminal Histories Current or past substance Abuse Youth Homelessness Low or no Income	8 2 10
4	This program will follow a "Housing First" & "Low Barrier" program model. Program allows entry of participants into program with no income. - 5 Program allows entry of participants into program with \$185 income. 3 Program only allows entry of participants with SSI/SSDI benefits or earned income - 0 Program does not mandate participation in service. - 5 Program mandates participants participate in service. - 0	10
5	Participates in HMIS - entering client specific data on a timely basis so that quarterly/yearly reports can be run from HMIS. Enters Data Monthly - 10 Enters Data quarterly - 7 Enters Data bi-annually - 4 Enters Data Yearly - 2	10

	<p>Does not Enter Data – 0</p> <p>If the applicant is a Domestic Violence Provider, they are not required to enter data into HMIS, but must have a data generated from a comparable data base.</p> <p>Has a comparable database that is submitted to CoC monthly - 10 Has a comparable database that is submitted to CoC quarterly - 5 Has a comparable database that submits to CoC yearly - 3 Does not have a comparable data base - 0</p> <p>For new projects, has a plan to implement program data on HMIS Solid Plan – 10 Plan Needs work – 5 No plan – 0</p>	
6	<p>Project meets or exceeds HUD Bed Utilization Rates of between 65 – 100%.</p> <p>100% – 10 90 – 99 – 8 80 -89% - 6 70 – 79% - 4 69-65% - 2 Less than 65% - 0</p>	10
7	<p>Budget is complete and funding has been expended at a rate to minimize those funds recaptured by HUD.</p> <p>Existing Projects: Budget complete and spending is 100% of budgeted amount. - 10 Budget complete and Spending is over 90% of budgeted amt. – 8 Budget complete and Spending is 80% - 90% of budgeted amount- 6 Budget complete and Spending is less than 70 – 80% of budgeted amount - 4 Budget complete and Spending is less than 70% of budgeted amount - 2 Budget incomplete and/or spending is less than 65% of budgeted amount – 0</p> <p>New projects: Budget is complete and appropriate – 10 Budget needed assistance – 5 Budget incorrect or incomplete – 0</p>	6
8	<p>The application meets the HUD objectives of maintaining housing at 80%.</p> <p>Existing Projects: Housing Stability 100% - 10 Housing Stability 95-99% - 8 Housing Stability 94- 90% - 6 Housing Stability 89- 85 – 4 Housing Stability 80-85 – 2 Housing Stability less than 80% - 0</p> <p>New Projects – Has a detailed plan to meeting HUD objectives – 10 Has a plan to meet HUD objectives that needs work – 5 Does not have a plan to meet HUD objectives – 0</p>	10
9	<p>The application meets the HUD objectives of obtaining & increasing income. If new, has a plan to address HUD objectives is in place.</p> <p>Existing Projects: Incomes of Adults is 100% – 10 Income of Adults is 90-99% – 8 Income of Adults is 80-89 – 7 Income of Adults is 79-79% - 5 Income of Adults is 60-69% - 3 Income of Adults is less than 60% - 0</p> <p>New Projects Has a detailed plan to meeting HUD objectives – 10 Has a plan to meet HUD objectives that needs work – 5 Does not have a plan to meet HUD objectives – 0</p>	10

10	<p>The project completes draw downs of funds at least quarterly in eLOCCS Existing Projects:</p> <p>Draw downs completed more than quarterly: 10 Draw Downs completed quarterly – 5 Draw Downs completed less than quarterly – 0</p> <p>New Projects – Placement of this project above existing projects will result in the displacement of participants currently housed, therefore contributing to increased homeless – remove 10 pts.</p>	10
Project Scoring Total		96 out of 100pts
		Comments:

Evaluators Signature:

Claudia Nagle: Claudia Nagle

DATE: 9/12/17

Theo Williams: Theo Williams

DATE: 9/12/2017

Carey Kelly: Carey Kelly

DATE: 9/12/17

Roberta Baldwin: Roberta Baldwin

DATE: 9/12/17

Ronda Lewis: Ronda Lewis

DATE: 9-12-17

Tony Jones: Tony Jones

DATE: 9-12-17

By signature below, Greta Rolland, HMIS Lead who is responsible for the writing of the HUD 2017 CoC Application will post this notice along with the CoC Priority Listing on the HALS CoC Website:

Greta Rolland: Greta Rolland

DATE: 9/12/17

Homeless Alliance for the Lower Shore Continuum of Care

COC PROJECT APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA 2017

Applications shall be evaluated by members of the HALS CoC Monitoring and Ranking Committee using the following criteria. Based on this review applications will be approved or rejected. If projects are approved this evaluation will be used to rank applications into Tier 1 and Tier 2 of the 2017 CoC NOFA Competition.

Name of CoC Applicant: MHA

CoC Project Application Title: Winchester S+C

	EVALUATION CRITERIA	Scoring
1	Project has reasonable costs as assessed by CoC Yes - 2 Higher - 1 No - 0 Application as documented secured match Yes - 2, No - 0 Application is complete and meets CoC expectations Yes - 2 Received Help - 1 No - 0 Applicant is an active CoC Participant that attends 75% of meetings. Yes - 2 Less than 75% but more than 45% - 1 No - 0 Applicant has documented organizational financial stability Yes - 2 No - 0	10
2	Coordinated Assessment participation - Compliance with CoC Prioritization Policy Applicant has taken all new entries from CoC wait list in the last 12 months Yes - 5 Some - 3 None - 0 Did all new placements meet the chronically homeless and vulnerable criteria in policy? Yes - 5 Some - 3 None - 0	0
3	This program will contribute to ending Chronic Homelessness & Evaluating Needs and Vulnerabilities Project serves chronics @ 100% - 8 Project Serves more than 40% chronics - 6 Project Serves 20-39% chronics - 4 Project Serves 5-19% Chronics - 2 Project Serves 1-4 % Chronics - 0 Project Services Considers one or more of the following vulnerabilities for admission - 2pts for one or more. None - 0 points Abuse/Victimization or a history of abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault and Childhood Abuse Criminal Histories Current or past substance Abuse Youth Homelessness Low or no Income	2 4 2
4	This program will follow a "Housing First" & "Low Barrier" program model. Program allows entry of participants into program with no income. - 5 Program allows entry of participants into program with \$185 income. 3 Program only allows entry of participants with SSI/SSDI benefits or earned income - 0 Program does not mandate participation in service. - 5 Program mandates participants participate in service. - 0	10
5	Participates in HMIS - entering client specific data on a timely basis so that quarterly/yearly reports can be run from HMIS. Enters Data Monthly - 10 Enters Data quarterly - 7 Enters Data bi-annually - 4 Enters Data Yearly - 2	2

	<p>Does not Enter Data – 0</p> <p>If the applicant is a Domestic Violence Provider, they are not required to enter data into HMIS, but must have a data generated from a comparable data base.</p> <p>Has a comparable database that is submitted to CoC monthly - 10 Has a comparable database that is submitted to CoC quarterly - 5 Has a comparable database that submits to CoC yearly - 3 Does not have a comparable data base - 0</p> <p>For new projects, has a plan to implement program data on HMIS Solid Plan – 10 Plan Needs work – 5 No plan – 0</p>	
6	<p>Project meets or exceeds HUD Bed Utilization Rates of between 65 – 100%.</p> <p>100% – 10 90 – 99 – 8 80 -89% - 6 70 – 79% - 4 69-65% - 2 Less than 65% - 0</p>	10
7	<p>Budget is complete and funding has been expended at a rate to minimize those funds recaptured by HUD.</p> <p>Existing Projects: Budget complete and spending is 100% of budgeted amount. - 10 Budget complete and Spending is over 90% of budgeted amt. – 8 Budget complete and Spending is 80% - 90% of budgeted amount- 6 Budget complete and Spending is less than 70 – 80% of budgeted amount - 4 Budget complete and Spending is less than 70% of budgeted amount - 2 Budget incomplete and/or spending is less than 65% of budgeted amount – 0</p> <p>New projects: Budget is complete and appropriate – 10 Budget needed assistance – 5 Budget incorrect or incomplete – 0</p>	8
8	<p>The application meets the HUD objectives of maintaining housing at 80%.</p> <p>Existing Projects: Housing Stability 100% - 10 Housing Stability 95-99% - 8 Housing Stability 94- 90% - 6 Housing Stability 89- 85 – 4 Housing Stability 80-85 – 2 Housing Stability less than 80% - 0</p> <p>New Projects – Has a detailed plan to meeting HUD objectives – 10 Has a plan to meet HUD objectives that needs work – 5 Does not have a plan to meet HUD objectives – 0</p>	10
9	<p>The application meets the HUD objectives of obtaining & increasing income. If new, has a plan to address HUD objectives is in place.</p> <p>Existing Projects: Incomes of Adults is 100% – 10 Income of Adults is 90-99% – 8 Income of Adults is 80-89 – 7 Income of Adults is 79-79% - 5 Income of Adults is 60-69% - 3 Income of Adults is less than 60% - 0</p> <p>New Projects Has a detailed plan to meeting HUD objectives – 10 Has a plan to meet HUD objectives that needs work – 5 Does not have a plan to meet HUD objectives – 0</p>	0

10	<p>The project completes draw downs of funds at least quarterly in eLOCCS Existing Projects:</p> <p>Draw downs completed more than quarterly: 10 Draw Downs completed quarterly – 5 Draw Downs completed less than quarterly – 0</p> <p>New Projects – Placement of this project above existing projects will result in the displacement of participants currently housed, therefore contributing to increased homeless – remove 10 pts.</p>	10
	Project Scoring Total	64 out of 100pts
	Comments:	

Evaluators Signature:

Claudia Nagle: Claudia Nagle

DATE: 9/12/17

Theo Williams: Theo Williams

DATE: 9/12/17

Carey Kelly: Carey Kelly

DATE: 9/12/17

Roberta Baldwin: Roberta Baldwin

DATE: 9/12/17

Ronda Lewis: Ronda Lewis

DATE: 9-12-17

Tony Jones: Tony Jones

DATE: 9.12.17

By signature below, Greta Rolland, HMIS Lead who is responsible for the writing of the HUD 2017 CoC Application will post this notice along with the CoC Priority Listing on the HALS CoC Website:

Greta Rolland: Greta Rolland

DATE: 9/12/17

Homeless Alliance for the Lower Shore Continuum of Care

COC PROJECT APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA 2017

Applications shall be evaluated by members of the HALS CoC Monitoring and Ranking Committee using the following criteria. Based on this review applications will be approved or rejected. If projects are approved this evaluation will be used to rank applications into Tier 1 and Tier 2 of the 2017 CoC NOFA Competition.

Name of CoC Applicant: SC HD

CoC Project Application Title: RRH Bonus Project 2017

	EVALUATION CRITERIA	Scoring
1	<p>Project has reasonable costs as assessed by CoC Yes - 2 Higher - 1 No - 0</p> <p>Application as documented secured match Yes - 2, No - 0</p> <p>Application is complete and meets CoC expectations</p> <p>Yes - 2 Received Help - 1 No - 0</p> <p>Applicant is an active CoC Participant that attends 75% of meetings.</p> <p>Yes - 2 Less than 75% but more than 45% - 1 No - 0</p> <p>Applicant has documented organizational financial stability Yes - 2 No - 0</p>	10
2	<p>Coordinated Assessment participation - Compliance with CoC Prioritization Policy</p> <p>Applicant has taken all new entries from CoC wait list in the last 12 months</p> <p>Yes - 5 Some - 3 None - 0</p> <p>Did all new placements meet the chronically homeless and vulnerable criteria in policy?</p> <p>Yes - 5 Some - 3 None - 0</p>	5 5 10
3	<p>This program will contribute to ending Chronic Homelessness & Evaluating Needs and Vulnerabilities</p> <p>Project serves chronics @ 100% - 8</p> <p>Project Serves more than 40% chronics - 6</p> <p>Project Serves 20-39% chronics - 4</p> <p>Project Serves 5-19% Chronics - 2</p> <p>Project Serves 1-4 % Chronics - 0</p> <p>Project Services Considers one or more of the following vulnerabilities for admission - 2pts for one or more. None - 0 points</p> <p>Abuse/Victimization or a history of abuse,</p> <p>Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault and Childhood Abuse</p> <p>Criminal Histories</p> <p>Current or past substance Abuse</p> <p>Youth Homelessness</p> <p>Low or no Income</p>	8 2 10
4	<p>This program will follow a "Housing First" & "Low Barrier" program model.</p> <p>Program allows entry of participants into program with no income. - 5</p> <p>Program allows entry of participants into program with \$185 income. 3</p> <p>Program only allows entry of participants with SSI/SSDI benefits or earned income - 0</p> <p>Program does not mandate participation in service. - 5</p> <p>Program mandates participants participate in service. - 0</p>	10
5	<p>Participates in HMIS - entering client specific data on a timely basis so that quarterly/yearly reports can be run from HMIS.</p> <p>Enters Data Monthly - 10</p> <p>Enters Data quarterly - 7</p> <p>Enters Data bi-annually - 4</p> <p>Enters Data Yearly - 2</p>	10

	<p>Does not Enter Data – 0</p> <p>If the applicant is a Domestic Violence Provider, they are not required to enter data into HMIS, but must have a data generated from a comparable data base.</p> <p>Has a comparable database that is submitted to CoC monthly - 10 Has a comparable database that is submitted to CoC quarterly - 5 Has a comparable database that submits to CoC yearly - 3 Does not have a comparable data base - 0</p> <p>For new projects, has a plan to implement program data on HMIS Solid Plan – 10 Plan Needs work – 5 No plan – 0</p>	
6	<p>Project meets or exceeds HUD Bed Utilization Rates of between 65 – 100%.</p> <p>100% – 10 90 – 99 – 8 80 – 89% - 6 70 – 79% - 4 69-65% - 2 Less than 65% - 0</p>	10
7	<p>Budget is complete and funding has been expended at a rate to minimize those funds recaptured by HUD.</p> <p>Existing Projects: Budget complete and spending is 100% of budgeted amount. - 10 Budget complete and Spending is over 90% of budgeted amt. – 8 Budget complete and Spending is 80% - 90% of budgeted amount- 6 Budget complete and Spending is less than 70 – 80% of budgeted amount - 4 Budget complete and Spending is less than 70% of budgeted amount - 2 Budget incomplete and/or spending is less than 65% of budgeted amount – 0</p> <p>New projects: Budget is complete and appropriate – 10 Budget needed assistance – 5 Budget incorrect or incomplete – 0</p>	10
8	<p>The application meets the HUD objectives of maintaining housing at 80%.</p> <p>Existing Projects: Housing Stability 100% - 10 Housing Stability 95-99% - 8 Housing Stability 94- 90% - 6 Housing Stability 89- 85 – 4 Housing Stability 80-85 – 2 Housing Stability less than 80% - 0</p> <p>New Projects – Has a detailed plan to meeting HUD objectives – 10 Has a plan to meet HUD objectives that needs work – 5 Does not have a plan to meet HUD objectives – 0</p>	10
9	<p>The application meets the HUD objectives of obtaining & increasing income. If new, has a plan to address HUD objectives is in place.</p> <p>Existing Projects: Incomes of Adults is 100% – 10 Income of Adults is 90-99% – 8 Income of Adults is 80-89 – 7 Income of Adults is 79-79% - 5 Income of Adults is 60-69% - 3 Income of Adults is less than 60% - 0</p> <p>New Projects Has a detailed plan to meeting HUD objectives – 10 Has a plan to meet HUD objectives that needs work – 5 Does not have a plan to meet HUD objectives – 0</p>	10

10	<p>The project completes draw downs of funds at least quarterly in eLOCCS Existing Projects:</p> <p>Draw downs completed more than quarterly: 10 Draw Downs completed quarterly – 5 Draw Downs completed less than quarterly – 0</p> <p>New Projects – Placement of this project above existing projects will result in the displacement of participants currently housed, therefore contributing to increased homeless – remove 10 pts.</p>	10 0
Project Scoring Total		10 6 out of 100pts
Comments:		

Evaluators Signature:

Claudia Nagle:

Claudia Nagle

DATE:

9/12/17

Theo Williams:

Theo Williams

DATE:

9/12/17

Carey Kelly:

Carey Kelly

DATE:

9/12/17

Roberta Baldwin:

R. Baldwin

DATE:

9/12/17

Ronda Lewis:

Ronda Lewis

DATE:

9-12-17

Tony Jones:

Tony Jones

DATE:

9-12-17

By signature below, Greta Rolland, HMIS Lead who is responsible for the writing of the HUD 2017 CoC Application will post this notice along with the CoC Priority Listing on the HALS CoC Website:

Greta Rolland:

Greta Rolland

DATE:

9/12/17